



society of graduate & professional students
CANADIAN FEDERATION OF STUDENTS LOCAL 27

MINUTES

Society of Graduate and Professional Students' Council
Local 27 of the Canadian Federation of Students
Tuesday, April 12th, 2011, 5:30pm
Robert Sutherland Room, JDUC

1. Presentations

2. Adoption of Agenda and Minutes

MOTION 04/12/11:1

Moved Eric Rapos / Jerome James BIRT the agenda for April 12th, 2011, 2011 be adopted.

Carried

MOTION 04/12/11:2

Moved Bob Cockburn / Jon Foster BIRT the minutes for the March AGM be accepted.

MOTION 04/12/11:2-M1

Moved Nora Zwingerman / Marvin Ferrer BIRT that the following announcement made by Nora Zwingerman during the March AGM be amended such that "you will win money for this" be stricken and replaced with "you could win money for filling out the survey".

MOTION 04/12/11:2-M2

Moved Bob Cockburn / Eric Rapos BIRT the comment allocated to Joe Steele in the March AGM minutes be removed as Joe Steele was not in attendance.

Nora Zwingerman – There were parts of the minutes that were lost/not written. This was felt by a number of people that felt this way.

Logan Crowell – Perhaps during more sensitive subjects we could be more cautious.

Hannah Leigh-Jones – Perhaps we could have two typers?

MOTION 04/12/11:2 – AS AMENDED

Carried

3. Executive and Speaker Reports

a) President – Jillian Burford-Grinnell (report attached)

Apply for positions.

b) VP Graduate – Irene Karagiorgakis (report attached)

We are looking to hire two student advisors. Hiring process is likely to happen in May. Spread the word. Grade change meeting – how we are moving from the letter grade system to the GPA. There are concerns on how this is going to translate for scholarships. You are more than welcome to join. This meet is likely going to happen sometime this month.

c) VP Professional – Mark Noble (no report)

d) VP Campaigns and Community Affairs – Anne-Marie Grondin (report attached)

We held a Q&A session about the AGM. There is a current gap between what goes on at council and some of the issues we discuss and what the membership knows that we do. We are not encouraging councillors enough to contact us to get the information back to their departments. If you are finding it hard to engage with your department we would be happy to help you with in this regard.

The deadline for application is April 18th. We have positions for international and equity coordinator positions.

I am currently working with AMS academic elections coordinator to promote the federal election. Debate on April 21st from 6-8 Wallace Hall between all four candidates. April 22nd to 25th there are going to be advanced polling stations. They are for people in this riding south of princess and west of princess. You need either a piece of photo ID and one other with your address. This can be your proof of enrolment.

Jerome James – You need to have updated proof of enrolment and payment.

Bob Cockburn– You need some piece of information that demonstrates that you reside here most of the time. There are some other advanced polling stations near the Rona which is where the voting office is.

Anne-Marie Grondin – I invite you to check out elections Canada. They provide you with the information about the IDs that you need. There is voting registration booth in the queen's centre up to May 2nd and that is from 11:30 until 2:30 in the Queen's centre.

Terry Bridges– Can that go in the newsletter?

Sean Richards - Yes

e) VP Finance and Services – Andrea Phillipson (report attached)

With all of the attention being paid to mental health, I have already been speaking with the dean of student affairs. I am trying to get the university to extend their idea about what mental health is. I have begun trying to promote this at Queen's Learning Commons and the administration. We could perhaps extend such services to help us with our academic anxieties.

f) Speaker – Michael Bravo (report attached)

MOTION 04/12/11:3

Moved ___Marvin Ferrer_____ / ___Hannah Legh-Jones_____ BIRT
Executive and Speaker reports be accepted.

Carried

4. Committee, Commissioner, Senator, B of T and Other Reports

- a) Graduate Student Senator – Daniel Moore (no report)
- b) Board of Trustees – Benjamin Grant (no report)
- c) Committee Reports (reports attached)
- d) Commissioner Reports
 - i) Social Commissioner – Abdullah Abunafeesa (report attached)
 - ii) Communications Commissioner – Sean Richards (report attached)
 - iii) Equity Commissioner: Carolyn Prouse (report attached)
- e) Other Reports
 - i) Equity Coordinator – Kalanthe Khaiat (no report)
 - ii) International Students Coordinator – Becky Pero (no report)
 - iii) Sustainability Coordinator – Ivana Zelenika (no report)
 - iv) Athletics Coordinator – Amanda Leonard (no report)
 - v) Logistics Coordinator – Corey Valinsky (no report)
 - vi) Planning Coordinator – Nicole McCallum (no report)
 - vii) Rector – Nick Day (no report)
 - viii) Chief Returning Officer – Mikhail Kellawan (no report)
 - ix) Departmental Reports

Mathew Scribner – The English department is that we have had the academic head of the department talk about the budget for the department. I thought this was useful in explaining where money comes from. If there are concerns in your department about the TA or RA funding that it may be a good idea to get a similar presentation going. If you go to our website there is an online journal which may be a useful resource. Some of the members from the English department thought there was going to an email from the CFRC referendum however that email was never sent. Referendums need to be publicized.

Sean Richards – There was an email for the past by-election for CFRC. We were under the impression that it was completely delivered by noon.

Patrick Turko – One of our members brought to attention that VIA rail has instituted a youth fair that is only for people under 25. I am wondering if the SGPS had a comment on this?

Anne-Marie Grondin – you can still benefit from the same category, you click youth and show your ISIC card at the station. This will only last until September 1, 2011. VIA decided to change their discount packaging without letting partner know such as the Canadian Federation of Students. They are putting together a long letter of why this is problematic and are going to be sending a petition that will allow students to express their discontent. We are active users of VIA and are often older than 25.

Marvin Ferrer – There was talk about campus food available over 3 and the gym also has restricted hours. I am wondering if there has been anything done about this?

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – I am in the midst of talking with Bruce to make arrangements so some of the food stations are open past 3:00. Amanda Leonard and I have been talking with ARC about what can be done. I didn't get emails with feedback and I asked members for this feedback. I would really like to hear your thoughts on this.

Sean Richards – Perhaps we can put a blurb in the newsletter about this.

Namit Sharma - Is there any possibility that SGPS could write a letter to the Ministry of transport about the issue with VIA.

Anne-Marie Grondin – I found out about this when I was booking a train ticket last week. I was told that VIA made these modifications last week. The petition is already in the works. As soon as we have that we will disseminate this as best we can. Perhaps I should come with a letter to council next time? I would be happy to do that.

MOTION 04/12/11:4

Moved _____Megan Bylsma_____ / __Eric Rapos_____ BIRT Senator/Board of Trustees/Commissioner/ Coordinator / Committee, and Other reports be accepted.

Carried

5. Question Period/Departmental Issues

6. Business Arising from the Minutes

MOTION 04/12/11:5

MOVED _____/ _____ BIRT **take from the table** Motion 03/08/11:10 "BIRT the SGPS take \$307 from the President's salary and make out a cheque to Chad Winch for the same amount".

Not Carried

7. Main Motions

MOTION 04/12/11:6

Whereas the second reading of Motion 04/13/10:7 did not carry, wherein the SGPS was asked to loan the SGPS Housing Authority \$90,000 from the SGPS reserves after such time that the Housing Authority formed a corporation; Whereas the Housing Authority, without capital, is

untenable and has no reason to form a corporation; Whereas maintaining the records for a corporation requires considerable time expenditure, and adding a corporation to the SGPS annual audit will increase the fee paid to the auditors;

MOVED ___Andrea Phillipson _____/___Anne Marie-Grondin_____ BIRT that SGPS Council repeals the Motion 01/12/10:5 resolving that the Housing Committee and the SGPS Executive establish a separate non-profit corporation.

Andrea Phillipson – Idea was brought forth a couple of years ago to purchase houses for SGPS housing. As it turns out the money that is in reserve is required for the SGPS to draw on, in April council decided that this would not be a good idea and voted down the motion to loan 90,000 dollars. There is no need for the SGPS to form a corporation as it will cause a lot more trouble than necessary.

Carried

8. Other Business

Ratification of AGM Motion

MOTION 04/12/11:7

Whereas the March AGM included a motion for the SGPS Council sign the “Support Academic Freedom & Queen’s University Rector Nick Day” petition and send a signed letter [see Appendix] to the Principal, the Provost, Chair of the Board of Trustees, Chair of Senate, AMS President, and Queen’s University Chancellor.

MOVED ___Marvin Ferrer_____/___Jerome James_____ SGPS Council signs the letter with the official seal, sends it to the designated individuals, and signs the petition.

Logan Crowell – I would like to table this until after the referendum. I think we should wait to see what the referendum is to make the best decision possible and potentially be in contempt of this motion

MOTION 04/12/11: Tabling

MOVED ___Logan Crowell_____/___Jon Foster_____ BIRT that the SGPS council Table MOTION 04/12/11:7 until the following SGPS council meeting.

Marvin Ferrer – I wonder would it be out of order to table this because we were directed by the AGM to sign this letter. Being directed to do so, should we not pass this motion?

Sean Richards – You are right about the AGM having the greatest power and has directed the council to vote on this letter. There was no date attached as to when the letter was to be passed.

Mathew Scribner – I think the AGM is an important body. I am not concerned that we are going to look like fools if the referendum fails. We will also look un-democratic if we table the motion.

Jerome James – The idea that we would sound in contempt of something that was passed by a voting body.

Logan Crowell – We know that all members were not able to be at the AGM.

Jerome James – I think we should do what our job and honour what happened.

Anne-Marie Grondin – If the concern is that we are going to look a little silly if the majority of the membership votes for an impeachment. I don't think this is a valid reason to table it. We are bound to write this letter and regardless of when we do it now or later, it still needs to be done.

Dani Deloye – Do we have to pass it? If we have to do it, do we need to vote on it. There is a possibility to amend it depending on the referendum.

Sean Richards – There can be no amendments on this such as that – only grammatical issues.

Bob Cockburn – I don't think the body was representative of the voting student body. The room itself was not big enough to have everyone there. I think the referendum and the constituents speak for themselves.

Jon Foster – We are going to have sign it. I think we should table it because we may reduce bias for voting constituents. We could then document it in the minutes at the next meeting and not influence or bias the student body.

Connor Langford – I would speak in favor of tabling. I think there is time between the referendum and the university council meeting. The whole purpose of a referendum is to reduce bias to the voting population. This may be in contrast to the SGPS policies as they state that the main body has to be neutral in their perspective.

Nathalie Ouellette – Isn't a proxy vote someone giving your vote to someone and you're not allowed to indicate what way you would like them to vote.

Sean Richards – Your goal would be to get someone to take your proxy and vote the way you want them to vote.

Nathalie Ouellette – I couldn't attend and didn't feel comfortable giving someone my proxy vote.

Sean Richards – I think this is a fair statement and just the ESS alone there would be 700 students

Mike (member at large)– Logistically if it is possible to have a meeting between the referendum and the university council.

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – At the AGM if there is a motion that is passed we need to pass it. I am not sure how it would be in direct violation of our policies.

Sean Richards – It is my understanding that the AGM has directed us to do this and they would likely have wanted this to be passed today. I am going to verify that it can be tabled for sure.

Don Esmond – I would like to speak against tabling because the referendum did not happen before the AGM.

Bob Cockburn – I believe that there are two referendum questions that we are talking about. I believe there was a petition going around students to sign about the referendum.

Marvin Ferrer – How are the motions different enough?

Sean Richards – I did not make this decision and I did not receive their statements

Logan Crowell – I believe that the referendum question was directed to council and one was directed to the membership at large.

Andrew Stevens – I would like to speak against. There have been some misconceptions about council and executive. Council is responsible for enforcing policy and the executive is enforcing behavior. This is not an organization that runs by direct democracy it is representative. The issues that were brought to the AGM were all voted on.

Logan Crowell – There is bias. My motion is not to kill this letter. It is to set it aside. We can ensure that this letter makes it to council if we are going to re discuss the issue I am going to remove my motion to table.

Megan Bylsma – In the spirit of the conversation could an amendment be made that includes a date when the letter is going to be passed. It is approved but it is going after the referendum.

MOTION 04/12/11: Remove Tabling

Logan Crowell to remove motion to table.

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – If we are providing it to University council isn't that already a date? We need to submit it in the report.

Sean Richards – I guess putting a date would allow for the letter and petition to be sent.

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – The University Council are well aware of what happened at the AGM.

MOTION 04/12/11:7-M1

MOVED ___Megan Bylsma_____/___Jon Foster_____ BIRT that a date be determined of when the letter should be passed by the SGPS Council.

Nora Zwingerman – I think it is a good compromise. I think this is a reasonable compromise. I speak in favour.

Jerome James– This letter happened before bringing the referendum question to assembly. I think us debating this has no bearing whatsoever that wasn't discussed or an issue when the letter was passed. I think moving the date is irrelevant to the task at hand.

Connor Langford – I speak in favor. I think if you send it immediately after and maintains the integrity of the SGPS voting process. We need to be aware of what is going in the organization. For the SGPS to sign the letter it is in violation of the SGPS voting policy.

Mathew Schriber – I think this is a good compromise. As to biasing the membership. I am not sure how this could possibly bias them. I really can't see this happening. As for it being a violation of rules it isn't SGPS as a force with its power. It is the AGM that passed this and ordered council to pass it.

Connor Langford – The motion is from SGPS council and in direct conflict with its voting policy.

Anne-Marie Grondin – I am going to speak against the amendment. My concern towards bias – I don't think this is going to further bias anyone about something that we have already publicized. They have also been made available in the AGM. My main concern is from the point of view about not appearing partial, that is exactly what we are going to do if we pass this amendment because we will look like we are not being fair to those who attended the meeting. I don't think there is a fair compromise here.

Nick Day – Connor's point and the amendment depend on the premise that the results of the AGM of the decision would inform the results of the referendum. This would be that they are so connected, then the referendum is out of order.

Sean Richards – The Speaker and the CRO allowed for these two to be run side by side.

Carolyn Prouse – Setting this dangerous precedent the AGM will unduly bias the referendum. We are undermining what the AGM stands for. To have this referendum the signatures could have been collected before the AGM.

MOTION 04/12/11: Call to Question

MOVED ___Logan Crowell_____/___Jon Foster_____ BIRT that Motion 04/12/11:7-M1 be called to question.

Bob Cockburn – I have a point of information. Point four of the letter is most definitely associated with a tone of bias.

Jerome James – Isn't this a non-friendly amendments? If it was asked at the AGM if this letter was going to be delivered after a referendum on the question, it would have failed. In that light is a non-friendly amendment.

Sean Richards - I want to have a 5 minute recess.

MOTION 04/12/11: Recess

MOVED ___Jillian Burford-Grinnell_____/ ___Stephanie Cork_____ BIRT that SGPS Council have a five minute recess.

Carried

Sean Richards – After some research a friendly amendment must be perceived by all parties to enhance the original motion and clarify the intent. With this in mind, the amendment is overturned. We are now back to the motion at hand.

MOTION 04/12/11: Call to Question

MOVED ___Logan Crowell_____/ ___Jon Foster_____ BIRT that Motion 04/12/11:7 be called to question.

Carried

MOTION 04/12/11:7

Carried

Ratification of Referendum Dates

MOTION 04/12/11:8

Whereas a petition has been received bearing signatures of at least 10% of the SGPS membership, triggering a referendum.

MOVED __Connor Langford_____/ __Nathalie Ouellette_____ BIRT a referendum be held on Tuesday April 26, 2011 and Wednesday April 27, 2011 bearing the question:

“Shall the SGPS membership recommend to the University Council of Queen’s University that Nick Day not continue to hold the office of Rector of Queen’s University at Kingston? YES/NO”

Sean Richards – We received the petition last week and does contain more than 10% of the SGPS membership. This must be approved by the CRO and who is in Washington DC. I was not able to get in contact. All the council has is my word that we have verified this according to proper procedure. I believe that a small amendment is needed to this.

MOTION 04/12/11:8-M1

MOVED __Logan Crowell_____/ __Charan Rainford_____ BIRT that the following statement “Subject to approval of the CRO” be added following the statement, “Shall the SGPS Membership recommend to the University Council of Queen’s University that Nick Day not continue to hold the office of Rector of Queen’s University at Kingston? YES/NO”.

Nora Zwingerman – Is there a timeframe that the CRO has to sign off?

Sean Richards – As long as the CRO signs off before the referendum.

Anne-Marie Grondin – Isn’t there something in the policies about the CRO approving it one month prior to the date?

Sean Richards – Not true for the petition.

Nora Zwingerman – Can he verify this via email?

Sean Richards – Yes. He just hasn't seen that the 10% is verified.

Dani Deloye – Can you debate a friendly amendment?

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – I am nervous that we are violating policies.

Bob Cockburn – Point of information – I thought we were adding clarification, we are approving it in order for him to approve it.

Jillian Burford-Grinnell – I am trying to ensure that we are following bylaw policies.

Sean Richards – My belief that we are.

Carried

Jerome James – I would like this ratification of the referendum is out of line. I believe that it is out of the spirit of what was decided at the AGM. I propose a rolling of the CRO and Chair's decision on calling this in order. I believe that the body should make this decision and not the CRO and Chair. I am challenging this inclusion.

Connor Langford – The only way it couldn't be considered if we had already had a vote on this subject. They submitted more than the 480 signatures.

Jerome James – The spirit of the motion cannot be pulled up again. I believe the judgment of the speaker was wrong. We are trying to hold up the legitimacy of the AGM body.

Logan Crowell – There was a motion at the AGM to have a referendum which was voted down. But there is a constitutional rule to have a referendum.

Jerome James – It has to be in the order.

Logan Crowell – It is that there is a referendum question at the previous AGM...

Jon Foster – Are you citing that the speaker and the CRO had to rule that the two amendments weren't similar enough. Could Sean clarify what the policy is with the wording of the question and whether that decision could be overturned.

Sean Richards – Referendum questions may be submitted with a petition of 10% of our membership. This is a valid way of initiating a referendum. The speaker has the ability to rule something in order. The CRO believes this question is valid.

Nora Zwingerman – There is a lot more people on this petition than were even present clearly enough people feel that this is an issue that should be brought forth. I thought once it was

approved by the CRO that it is a valid referendum I was not under the impression that it could be overruled.

Sean Richards – That is also my understanding.

Marvin Ferrer – When Bob read number 4 says that we urge the Queen’s student body to vote no on the referendum to move the rector from his position. The speakers and the CROs still think that this is in order.

Sean Richards – One question is saying the council and one is saying membership. Council and membership are distinct bodies and not conflicting with other things that have been passed on or not.

Eric Rapos – We have to have this referendum. The only thing that we can debate because the names have been collected.

Connor Langford – I can’t find anything in the policy to rule this motion out of order. Just because we voted down a referendum doesn’t mean we can’t hold one. There is nothing about the spirit of the motion.

Logan Crowell – In the bylaws you can bring it to council and/or bring a petition. The signature were collected on an approved question. There has to be something more than you don’t like the motion.

Bob Cockburn – the letter says that we need to vote at a referendum

Jerome James – If you just gather signatures, what is the point of having the CRO and speaker clear it. There has to be some merit to what we are doing. Someone said that the time between when the speaker got it and the CRO got it, there was a time to shoot it down.

Dani Deloye – The signatures have to be submitted before you ask for the referendum.

Leah Daks (member at large) – It sounds like certain members of the council are trying to overrule the desire of the membership. This is just being brought to council and we are making you aware. It is my understanding that it is not for the council to debate the issue.

Sean Richards – We are debating friendly amendments and dates of a referendum. The CRO said this is valid.

MOTION 04/12/11: Call to Question

MOVED _ Charan Rainford_____/__Eric Rapos____ BIRT that Motion 04/12/11:8 be called to question.

MOTION 04/12/11:9 – AS AMENDED

Carried

Ratification of SGPS Speaker for 2011-2012

MOTION 04/12/11:9

MOVED ___Jon Foster_____/___Jillian Burford-Grinnell_____ BIRT SGPS Council ratify <insert name here> as the Speaker for SGPS Council for the 2011-2012 year.

MOTION 04/12/11:9-M1

MOVED ___Bob Cockburn_____/___Charan Rainford_____ BIRT that "Eric Rapos" be inserted in "<insert name here>" in Motion 04/12/11:9.

Carried

MOTION 04/12/11:9

Carried

9. Notice of Motions/Announcements

Sean Richards – Please come to the grad club and beverages following this meeting.

Reports and Motions for the next regular Council meeting are due Tuesday, May 3rd, 2011.

The next regular SGPS Council meeting will be on Tuesday, May 10th, 2011.

10. Adjournment

MOTION 04/12/11:10

Moved ___Derek Smalls_____/___ Mathew Scribner _____ BIRT the SGPS Council meeting be adjourned.

04/12/11
MFB
CFS-27
Attached:
March AGM Minutes
Attendance March AGM Meeting
April Reports
Appendix

Attendance

Name	Represents	Present
Vacant	Vacant	Aboriginal Rep
Marvin	Ferrer	Anatomy & Cell Biology
Christina	Prokopchuk	Art Conservation
Megan	Bylsma	Art History
Namit	Sharma	Biochemistry
Celia	Symons	Biology
Patrick	Turko	Biology
Joe	Steele	Biomedical Engineering
Vacant	Vacant	Biostatistics
Ben	Grant	Board of Trustees Rep
Jonas	Gerson	Chem Eng (CEGSA) President
Bob	Cockburn	Chemical Engineering
Nicole	Day	Chemistry

Jonathan	Foster	Civil Engineering	X
Vacant	Vacant	Classics	
Nora	Zwingerman	Community Health and Epidemiology	X
Eric	Rapos	Computing Science	X
Layan	Nahlawi	Computing Science	
Shannon	Speed	Cultural Studies	
Maralyne	Narayan	Development Studies	
Matt	Webb	Economics	
Julia	Brook	Education - Graduate - President	
Chi	Lam	Education - Graduate M.Ed	
Terry	Bridges	Education - Graduate PhD	X
Vacant	Vacant	Education Coordinator	
Tejinder	Dhaliwal	Electrical and Computer Engineering	
Mathew	Scribner	English	X
Mike	McHugh	Environmental Studies	
Rebecca	ReginorId	ESS	
Sunny	Moondi	ESS	
Jennise	Fortner	ESS	
Derek	Smalls	ESS Liason to SGPS	
Hayley	Backewich	ESS President	
Francesca	Fiore	French	
Kaleigh	Alkenbrack	Gender Studies	
Clare	Wasteneys	Geography	X
Giselle	Valarezo	Geography	
Vacant	Vacant	Geology	
Connor	Langford	Geological Society	
Dani	Dellaloye	Geological Society	X
Corina	Fonyodi-Szarka	German	X
Vacant	Vacant	Global Development	
Daniel	Moore	Graduate Senator	
Sarah	Waurechen	History	X
Minoo	Kianizad	Industrial Relations	
Holly	McIndoe	International Rep	X
Hannah Legh	Jones	Kinesiology and Health Studies	
Jeremy	D'Souza	Law	X
Brittany	Sargent	Law	
Stephanie	Timerman	Law	
Alejandra	Encinales	Law - Graduate	
Brianna	Butchart	Law - LSS President	
Logan	Crowell	Law Senator	
Joachim	Scholz	Management	X
Vacant	Vacant	Math	
Vacant	Vacant	Mature Student Rep	
Jerome	James	Mechanical and Material Engineering	
Andrew	Purssell	Microbiology	X
Justin	Thompson	Mining Engineering	
James	Snyder	Mining Engineering	
Tyler	Sutton	MPA	X
Ethan	Zhao	Neuroscience	
Stacey	Karp	Nursing	X
Charlene	Brown	Occupational Therapy	
Lisa	Hughes	Part Time Rep	
Jess	Hickey	Pathology	
Dulcai	Lai	Pathology	X
Yohan	D'Souza	Pharmacology	

Ryan	McInerney	Philosophy	
Nathalie	Ouellette	Physics	
Andrea	Mimee	Physiology	
Kate	McGarry	Policy Studies	
Charan	Rainford	Political Studies	X
Corrie	Goldfiner	Psychology	
Brent	Shaw	Public Administration	
Vacant	Vacant	Public Health	
Nick	Day	Rector	X
Shauna	Connaughton	Rehab Sciences (Professional)	X
Ian	Gilchrist	Rehab Sciences (Research)	X
Tessa	Young	Rehabilitation Therapy	
Vacant	Vacant	Religious Studies	
Stephanie	Cork	Sociology	X
Tara	Downs	Spanish and Italian	X
Ryan	Robinson	Theology	
Erin	Burns	Theology Senator	
Andrea	Renney	Urban and Regional Planning	
Sean	Richards	Executive Director	X
Jillian	Burford-Grinnell	President	X
		VP Campaigns and Community	
Anne-Marie	Grondin	Affairs	X
Andrea	Phillipson	VP Finance and Services	X
Irene	Karagiorgakis	VP Graduate	X
Mark	Noble	VP Professional	
Abdullah	Abunafeesa	Social Commissioner	
Amanda	Leonard	Athletics Coordinator	
Corey	Valinsky	Logistics Coordinator	
Nicole	McCallum	Planning Coordinator	
Carloyn	Prouse	Equity Commissioner	X
Kalanthe	Khaiat	Equity Coordinator	
Amir	Nosrat	International Students' Coordinator	
Becky	Pero	International Students' Coordinator	
Ivana	Zelenika	Sustainability Coordinator	
Michael	Bravo	Speaker	
Morgan	Craig-Broadwith	Deputy Speaker	X
Mikhail	Kellawan	Chief Returning Officer	